

COUNTY OF KAUAI Minutes of Meeting OPEN SESSION

Approved with ammendments 2/15/19

						Approved with ammendments 2/15/1
Board/Commission		n:	BOARD OF ETHICS	Meeting Date	January 18,	, 2019
Location Moʻikeha Building, Liquor Conference Room 3 Start o		Start of Meeting	: 1:00 p.m.	End of Meeting: 2:40 p.m.		
Present	Chair Dean Toyofuku, Vice Chair Mia Shiraishi, Secretary Susan Burriss. Members: Mary Tudela, Maureen Tabura, and Ryan de la Pena. Also: Deputy County Attorney Maryann Sasaki. Boards & Commissions Office Staff: Administrator Ellen Ching and Support Clerk Arleen Kuwamura.					
Excused						
Absent						
SUBJE	ECT		DISCUSSION			ACTION
Approval Minutes	of	Ms. Bu "for" a Toyofu	Session Minutes of December 7, 2018 December 7,	ctions, or additions,	extra word Chair	Chair Toyofuku called the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m. with six members present which constituted a quorum. Mr. de la Pena moved to approve the Open Session minutes of December 7, 2018, as circulated and corrected. Ms. Tabura seconded the motion. Motion carried 5:1 (Ms. Tudela - Abstained)
Communi	ication	stateme Chair 7	019-01 List of positions and appointees responsible ent. Toyofuku requested a motion for discussion of BOE sion until after Disclosure review completed.	Ÿ	,	Mr. de la Pena moved to move discussions on BOE 2019-01. Ms. Tabura seconded the motion. Motion carried 6:0.

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	Returned to discussion after completing Disclosure Form review.	
	Ms. Tabura noted that the list did not call for Commissioners to do anything so a motion	
	could be moved.	
	Deputy County Attorney Maryann Sasaki advised making a motion to discuss the list of	
	appointees' response to Disclosure letters after the discussions of the Disclosures.	
	appointees response to Discrosure fetters after the discussions of the Discrosures.	
	Chair Toyofuku entertained a motion to approve discussing the list of appointees'	
	response to Disclosure letters after the discussions of the Disclosures.'	
	Ms. Tudela recalled from last meeting an Action Item ask for a recommendation and a	
	fine schedule?	
	Ms. Burriss stated yes, and that it was going to come back to them. Mr. de la Pena stated	
	he thought it was sent County Council.	
	the thought it was sent county countries.	
	Vice Chair Mia thought that counsel was going to draft somethings so the board could	
	send it to the County Council.	
	Ms. Tudela stated what she read was that we are not quite done with this yet.	
	Deputy County Attorney Sasaki stated that she had no recollection of it but, that Nick, Mr. Courson stated, "that the Board could ask the new counsel, Deputy County Attorney	
	Maryann Sasaki, to Draft the proposed Bill for the Boards consideration. Once the	
	Board agrees with the proposal then it can be submitted for Council consideration. I	
	assume I should be doing this, I have not done it.	
	Ms. Tudela stated she did not think they acted on that particular issue based on the	
	minutes she read. She felt it is still an Action Item the board is requesting because it has	

Page 3

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	not yet been officially voted on. Ms. Tudela felt the Action Item for today is what to do	
	with the list and what to do with the Open Item from last meeting business.	
	Boards and Commissions Administrator Ms. Ellen Ching stated she did receive	
	communication from the County Clerk, Jade Tanigawa that they are willing to Draft a	
	Fine Schedule and submit it to the Commission if they request it.	
	The state of the s	
	Ms. Tudela stated she has been here for four years and have not asked the Council for an	
	ordinance or anything like this as it is new ground. So she did not know who they would	
	send it too. Does it go to the Council or rather clerk? The clerk was the one who	
	brought it to the Boards attention after we asked for information on how it was being	
	some on neighbor islands three or four meetings ago.	
	Ms. Burriss noted if it's legislation that the Board wants to advance, the Boards	
	procedure would be to select a Council person and have them present it. Ms. Burriss	
	asked, Do we want this or does somebody else want this?	
	Ellen Ching referred to options, the first, Board could request the Deputy County to	
	Draft a fine Schedule and receive for discussion or the other option is to the County Clerk Council services and ask them to Draft and receive it and discuss.	
	Clerk Council services and ask them to Draft and receive it and discuss.	
	Ms. Burriss asked, "Is this something we care enough about to request that it be	
	(inaudible)?"	
	Ms. Tudela replied, She thought it was something the Board should do based on what	
	happened two or three meeting ago. This came up regarding Mr. Tabata. We were	
	concerned at the time that we had a loose thread and wanted to clean it Up. I move to approve to ask counsel to go back and put together the process for this ordinance	
	recommendation from Mr. Courson. She also suggested that they agree that the board	
	wants to move forward and ask of counsel on how to.	
L		

Page 4

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	Mr. de la Pena seconded.	
	Vice Chair Mia commented that at the last meeting the Board did vote on the language in the Fine Schedule so all that was needed was someone to put that language to submit to Council. The substance of the Boards ordinance was decided upon at the last meeting, just need somebody to put it in nice packaging.	
	Vice Chair Mia stated she personally did not have any preference between their Counsels doing it or County Clerk Jade Tanigawa, so whoever we feel is best for that.	Ms. Tuledo moved to ask Counsel to Draft what was decided in the
	Ms. Tudela stated she felt that because it was an ordinance she would prefer an Attorney.	December 7, 2018, Re BOE 2018-17 Mr. de la Pena seconded the motion. Motion carried 6:0.
	Chair Toyofuku asked Deputy County Attorney Sasaki what she thought.	
	Deputy County Attorney Sasaki declined to comment, however, she pointed out she was hearing two different issues.	Ms. Tudela moved to make a formal request to Counsel to Draft regarding BOE 2018-17 to. Ms.
	Chair Toyofuku gave history of situation leading up to where they are at today.	Tabura seconded the motion. Motion carried 6:0.
	Chair Toyofuku entertained the motion of all those in favor to make the formal request to counsel to Draft regarding BOE-2018-17.	Average curried 5.5.
	Chair entertained motion to approve to receive communications BOE-2019-01	Mr. de la Pena moved to receive
	A Lengthy discussion regarding the list and the reason for Boards request. Which was requested by Chair Curtis regarding filing Disclosures and the responsibilities. Ellen Ching stated she is working on past concerns and working on a process and procedures such as at the beginning of the year sending out reminder notices and other pro-active methods.	communications BOE 2019-01 Ms. Tabura seconded the motion. Motion carried 6:0.

Page 5

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
Request for an	There was no request for advisory.	
Advisory		
Opinion		
Business	There was no Business	
Disclosures	1. Mia R. Shiraishi – Board of Ethics	
	2. Ellen M. Ching – Administrator of Boards and Commissions	
	3. Gary A. Pacheco – Liquor Control Commission	
	4. Donna Apisa – Planning Commission	
	5. Virginia Kapali – Charter Review Commission	
	6. William F. Gibson – Liquor Control Commission	
	7. Mary Hertog – Police Commission	
	8. Patrick T. Porter – Director of Parks and Recreation	
	9. Peter M. Morimoto – Deputy County Attorney	
	10. Kipukai L.P. Kuali'i - Councilmember	
	11. Elton S. Ushio – Emergency Management Administrator	
	12. Derek S.K. Kawakami - Mayor	
	13. Celia M. Wooton-Mahikoa – Executive on Transportation	
	14. Maryann Sasaki – Deputy County Attorney	
	15. Kanani Fu – Housing Director	
	16. Kaaina S. Hull – Planning Commission	
	17. Michael A. Dahilig – Managing Director	
	18. Nicholas R.H. Courson – First Deputy County Attorney	
	19. Jodi A. Sayegusa – Deputy Director of Planning	
	20. Matthew M. Bracken – County Attorney	
	21. Scott K. Sato – Deputy County Clerk	
	22. Jade K. Fountain-Tanigawa – County Clerk	
	23. Arthur Brun – Councilmember	
	24. Mason K. Chock - Councilmember	
	25. Ross K. Kagawa – Councilmember	
	26. Arryl J. Kaneshiro – Councilmember	

Page 6

DISCUSSION	ACTION
27. Mark L. Ishmael – Deputy County Attorney	
28. Mahealani M. Kraft – Deputy County Attorney	
29. Cameron N. Takamura – Deputy County Attorney	
30. Adam P. Roversi – Deputy County Attorney	
31. Mark L. Bradbury – Deputy County Attorney	
32. Lyle M. Tabata – Deputy County Engineer/Ex. Officio for Board of Water	
Supply	
33. Dean A. Pigao – Police Commission	
34. Michelle L. Lizama – Finance Deputy Director	
35. Bryan M. Wienand – Manager & Chief Engineer, Dept. of Water	
36. Wallace G. Rezentes Jr. – Deputy Director of Parks and Recreation	
37. Blanche R. Matsuyama – Director of Finance	
38. Sarah K. Blane – Chief of Staff	
40. Jeremy K. Lee – Transportation Dept. Program Specialist III	
Chair Toyofuku stated that because there were so many Disclosure statements, at the	
recommendation of Counsel, they would go over them one at a time.	
Deputy County Attorney Sasaki suggested they could move the Disclosure statements	
motion to open for discussion for the record.	
Mr. de la Pena inquired if the routing slip was a record, since it has initials. Deputy	
were admitted.	
	 27. Mark L. Ishmael – Deputy County Attorney 28. Mahealani M. Kraft – Deputy County Attorney 29. Cameron N. Takamura – Deputy County Attorney 30. Adam P. Roversi – Deputy County Attorney 31. Mark L. Bradbury – Deputy County Attorney 32. Lyle M. Tabata – Deputy County Engineer/Ex. Officio for Board of Water Supply 33. Dean A. Pigao – Police Commission 34. Michelle L. Lizama – Finance Deputy Director 35. Bryan M. Wienand – Manager & Chief Engineer, Dept. of Water 36. Wallace G. Rezentes Jr. – Deputy Director of Parks and Recreation 37. Blanche R. Matsuyama – Director of Finance 38. Sarah K. Blane – Chief of Staff 39. Ludvina K. Takahashi – Executive Director of Office of Aging 40. Jeremy K. Lee – Transportation Dept. Program Specialist III Chair Toyofuku stated that because there were so many Disclosure statements, at the recommendation of Counsel, they would go over them one at a time. Deputy County Attorney Sasaki suggested they could move the Disclosure statements by reading off the names of each accepted Disclosure and make a motion for the record, followed by the ones that Board of Ethics have issues with, the Board could make a motion to open for discussion for the record. Mr. de la Pena inquired if the routing slip was a record, since it has initials. Deputy County Attorney Sasaki pointed out the routing slip did not say ok. She suggested that alternatively, they could read all the accepted ones at once and make a motion that all

Page 7

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	Ms. Tudela stated that it had been the practice move to approve by number the ones that the Ethics Board had no issues with, and then individually taking care of the ones they did find issues.	
	Deputy County Attorney Sasaki stated she thought the numbering process was a bit confusing for the record but she did not think it was a material difference.	
	Ms. Burriss stated she thought by name was fine. Deputy County Attorney Sasaki suggested someone make a motion ether by name or by number.	
	Ms. Tudela requested of Chair Toyofuku to call out the numbers of Disclosure Statements Board of Ethics Commissioners had questions on.	
	Chair Toyofuku called out numbers 2, 6, 8, 12, 16, 19, 24, 30, 32, and 39 for a total of ten Disclosure statements set aside for clarification or question needing to be answered.	
	Chair Toyofuku entertained a motion to approve Disclosures 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10 11, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 31, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, and 40.	Ms. Tudela moved to approve the Disclosures 1,3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10 11, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 31, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, and 40. As submitted. Mr. de la Pena seconded the motion. Motion carried 6:0.
	Ms. Tudela requested a move to discuss Disclosures the Board found issues with one at a time and discuss what the issue is then decide individually how each should be handled.	

Page 8

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	Chair Toyofuku entertained the motion to open discussion on Disclosures Board had issues with and to decide individually.	Ms. Tudela moved to open discussion for Disclosures 2, 6, 8, 12, 16, 19, 24, 30, 32, and 39. And
	Chair Toyofuku referred to the first Disclosure, Ellen Ching (2), listed employer section 2-County of Kauai and no income listed on section 3. Both needing to be revised.	to decided individually. Ms. Tabura seconded the motion. Motion carried 6:0.
	Deputy County Attorney Sasaki suggested that the Board could make the distinction between ministerial mistakes and actually conflict of interest. She felt the board could correct it on the record saying, you would have a record noting that section 2 and 3 as transposed are the ministerial errors instead of to sending it back.	
	Mr. de la Pena and Ms. Tudela advised the past practice was to send them all back ensuring consistency.	
	Ms. Tabura asked if there was anything else indicated that needed correction. Chair Toyofuku replied "No."	
	Ms. Tabura suggested re-wording to say; sections 2 & 3 needs to be revised so Ellen can see where the Boards concern were.	
	Chair Toyofuku entertained a motion to approve returning Disclosure 2 for revision.	Mr. de la Pena moved to return Ellen Ching (2) Disclosure form for revision of sections, 2, and 3. Ms.
	Chair Toyofuku referred to William Gibson (6), Ms. Tabura pointed out that he listed monthly income versus annual income in section 3.	Tabura seconded the motion. Motion carried 6:0.
	Ms. Tudela stated that The Board always sent it back because the person who files is responsible for the information we receive.	
	Ms. Burriss stated we do not know for sure and we can't assume.	

Page 9

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	Chair Toyofuku entertained a motion to approve returning Disclosure 6 for revision of his annual income.	Ms. Tabura moved to return William Gibson (6) Disclosure form for clarification of sections 3. Ms. Tudela seconded the motion. Motion carried 6:0.
	Chair Toyofuku referred to Patrick Porter (8).	
	Vice Chair Shiraishi noted section 3 listed no source of income.	
	Chair Toyofuku entertained a motion to approve return Disclosure 8 for no source of income.	Ms.Tudela moved to return Patrick Portor (8) Disclosure form for revision of sections 3-no source of
	Chair Toyofuku referred to Derek Kawakami (12) Ms. Tudela stated section 8 was left blank and needed to be clarified. The section under representation before Government Agencies.	income. Ms. Tabura seconded the motion. Motion carried 6:0.
	Chair Toyofuku entertained a motion to approve return Disclosure 12 for clarification.	Ms.Tudela motion to return Derek Kawakami (12) Disclosure form for clarification of sections 8. Mrs. De la Pena seconded the motion.
	Chair Toyofuku referred to Kaaina Hull (16),	Motion carried 6:0.
	Ms. Tabura pointed out the statement listed being appointed by the board, doesn't have an employer, yet income listed is the County of Kauai. Her question, Is he being paid to be on the Planning Commission?	
	Mr.de la Pena asked, Do you know where he works?	

Page 10

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	Ms Tudela stated, he is the Planning Director and appointed by the Planning Commission. The confusion and discrepancy is the listing of section 1 which needs to be clarified and revised. Chair Toyofuku entertained a motion to approve return Disclosure 16 for revision of section 1.	Ms. Tabura motion to return Kaaina Hull (16) Disclosure form for revision of section 1. Ms. Tudela seconded the motion. Motion carried 6:0.
	Chair Toyofuku stated Jodi Sayegusa (19) did not sign nor was disclosure notarized and entertained the motion to approve return Disclosure 19 for signature and notarization.	Ms. Tudela motion to return Jodi Sayegusa (19) Disclosure form for signature and notarization. Mr. de la Pena seconded the motion.
	Chair Toyofuku referred tp the disclosure by Mason Chock (24)	
	Mr. de la Pena noted he listed County of Kauai twice and his business section 3 needs clarification.	
	Ms. Burriss had a concern regarding the employer, Kupu'a a, she could not tell or did not know what they do. Thus, she could not tell if there was an inherent conflict of interest based on the nature of the business.	
	Mr. de la Pena stated he did not think they should have to ask.	
	Deputy County Attorney Sasaki stated she did not have the answers but would research and bring it to the next meeting. The advice from Counsel was to table discussion.	
	Ms. Burriss made a sub-motion to set on the table this vote on the Chock Disclosure.	

Page 11

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	Mr. de la Pena asked, what are we saying?	
	Ms. Tudela asked, we ae tabling because we need help to understand it.	
	Chair Toyofuku stated, Disclosure Statement from Mason Chock until we receive clarification form counsel.	
	Ms. Burriss clarified saying we are just tabling it that's all.	
	Vice Chair Shiraishi asked, Clarification about what the business is?	
	County Attorney Sasaki stated, no, whether it's required, you have to do due diligent with respect to conflict of interest.	
	Ms Burriss replied, "or at least have some (inaudible) information about the person disclosing as to the nature of the business so we can rule it out."	
	County Attorney Maryann stated she would find out the answer.	
	Ms. Tudela asked, "Are we in discussion on that?"	
	Ms. Burriss stated there is no discussion on a motion to put a vote on the table.	
	Ms. Tudela stated that the reason she is hesitating because our practice has always been to simply approve the Disclosures for completeness and did not ask the question about inherent conflict of an employer to her knowledge and that's why the hesitancy and question, What are we doing?	
	Many concerns were brought to the table.	

Page 12

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	Chair Toyofuku entertained a motion to approve return of Disclosure 24 to revise section 3.	Mr. de la Pena moved to return Mason Chock (24) Disclosure form for clarification of section 3. Ms. Tudela seconded the motion. Motion carried 6:0.
	Chair Toyofuku referred to Adam Roversi (30) under name of spouse section 1 and section 2 left blank. Both incomplete. Chair Toyofuku entertained the motion to approve the return of Disclosure 30 for incompleteness.	Ms.Tudela motion to return Adam Roversi (30) Disclosure form for incomplete section 2. Ms. Tabura seconded the motion. Motion carried 6:0.
	Chair Toyofuku referred to Lyle Tabata (32)	
	Vice Chair Shiraishi noted he listed his position as both Deputy County Engineer and Acting County Engineer. Board was not sure if he still held both titles and felt it needed clarification.	Ms.Tudela motion to return Lyle Tabata (32) Disclosure form for incompleteness of section 1. Ms. Tabura seconded the motion.
	Ms. Tudela recalled Mr. Tabata coming before counsel and stating at the time that he was holding both positions.	Motion carried 6:0.
	Chair Toyofuku entertained a motion to approve returning Disclosure 32 for incompleteness of section of 3 and ask clarification on current title.	
	Chair Toyofuku referred to Ludvina Takahashi (39)	Ms. Tudela moved to approve the return of Ludvina Takahashi (39)Disclosure form for completion
	Vice Chair Mia noted she did not check a box in section one leaving it incomplete.	of section 1. Ms. Tabura seconded. Motion carried 6:0.

Page 13

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION	
	Chair Toyofuku entertained a motion to approve returning Disclosure 39 to complete section 1.		
Executive	There was no Executive Session		
Session			
Announcements	Next Meeting: Friday, March 15, 2019 – 1:00 p.m., Moʻikeha Building, Liquor Conference Room 3		
	Ms. Tudela and Mr. de la Pena noted that they would will not be attending the March 15, 2019 meeting.		
Adjournment		With no objections, Chair Toyofuku adjourned the meeting at 2:40 p.m.	

Submitted by:		Reviewed and Approved by:	
•	Arleen Kuwamura, Staff Support Clerk	11 ,	Dean Toyofuku, Chair

() Approved as circulated.

(X) Approved with amendments. See minutes of <u>2/15/19</u> meeting.